



# Indoor Barn: Understanding verandas for laying hens

## What is a Veranda?

- A veranda or winter garden is an additional roofed structure attached to the outside of a poultry building, which has a fully littered floor. These structures provide the hens with natural daylight and an outdoor climate. Verandas or winter gardens are becoming more popular in the laying hen industry, with new-build systems choosing to invest in them.
- Verandas offer birds access to and from the main house via a series of internal popholes.
- In barn production systems, verandas are simply an addition to the house, and provide natural daylight and air flow but do not provide range access. They can either be built as an additional element to the henhouse (retro-fitted) or they can be incorporated into a new build.

## Why are verandas so important to hen welfare?

- Installing natural daylight and verandas onto barn systems which currently have no natural daylight or an outside climate will be a huge step forward for hen welfare. Verandas provide many benefits for bird welfare, including:
  - Providing more natural daylight (including UVA and UVB wavelengths).
    - Exposure to direct natural levels of UVB wavelengths can ensure the production of vitamin D3, which promotes absorption of calcium to improve bone strength.
  - Providing hens with access to an outdoor climate and continual supply of fresh air.
  - Providing more space for enrichment and foraging opportunities.
  - Maintaining litter quality in the main house
  - Indirect impact on improving feather coverage
  - Reducing stocking density within the main house which, therefore, gives hens more overall space to move around and improved house litter quality.



## Where did you source your evidence that verandas improve hen welfare?

- The RSPCA is an evidence-led organisation and any changes to the standards are informed by the most up-to-date research and information when this becomes available.
- The RSPCA commissioned ADAS to prepare a [report on Verandas in 2016](#). The report includes types of verandas, advantages and disadvantages and an estimate of cost. Although the costings are outdated now, the report highlighted a large number of benefits verandas could bring to hen welfare.
- The RSPCA also looked at systems across Europe (see [‘Use In Europe’ for more detailed information on European verandas](#)) which already have verandas and natural daylight and studied the impact this has had on hens. In most cases, hens are less stressed, more active and have reduced feather damage and farmers are able to keep hens with intact beaks.
- The European food safety authority (EFSA) recently published a review of [‘The Welfare of Laying hens on farm’](#), after reviewing research and welfare outcomes both natural daylight and verandas were included in the final list of recommendations to urgently improve the welfare of laying hens on farm:
  - *‘Provide a covered veranda for all birds to reduce effective/local stocking density during daytime periods when birds are most active, and permit birds to choose between temperatures, light conditions and substrate quality. This would reduce the risk of the welfare consequences inability to perform foraging, exploratory and comfort behaviour. Compared to an outdoor range, the risk of predation stress, gastroenteric disorders and other infectious diseases in case of outbreaks in the member states will be reduced. In climates where a covered veranda cannot be provided, provide extra space to birds’ [1].*

## Use in Europe

- In Europe, many systems already include verandas and this is one way in which the UK farming industry is sadly lagging behind.
- Verandas are commonly used in European countries and are included as an aspect in both the [Beter Leven](#) one, two and three star requirements operating in the Netherlands and the [KAT guides](#) for laying farms operating in Germany. The key aspects required in these verandas are an outdoor climate, natural daylight and litter provision.
- Producers using verandas report positive outcomes, such as being able to house intact beak birds, better litter quality and more activity in hens.
- There is limited research into verandas, as the countries that use them have been using these systems for many years.

## **Belgium**

- Research looking at production systems on Belgium farms in 2015 reported that out of 47 randomly selected flocks, 28% of barn systems had a covered run and 19% had a free-range area which always included a covered run [2].

## **Switzerland**

- Similar to the Belgium farms, researchers looking at Swiss farms visited 96 farms and reported 59.3% of the flocks had access to the outdoors. In addition, 48.9% of the flocks had access to a veranda and a free-range area. A veranda without a free-range area was present in 6.0% of the flocks [3].

**Examples of some Swiss systems can be see below:**



© Ariane Stratmann



© Ariane Stratmann

## Literature review

When not included in the usable area, access to a veranda during the daytime will significantly reduce the stocking density in the main house. There is a clear relationship between higher stocking density and an increased risk of feather pecking [4–6]. The use of verandas appeared to increase with increasing stocking density when organic birds were kept at 6, 9 and 12 birds/m<sup>2</sup> [7] suggesting the value of a larger litter area.

Hens spend about 38% of their daily time budget engaged in foraging behaviours [8] and in ancestral red jungle fowl this can be up to 60% [9]. In farmed systems the majority of this behaviour occurs on the litter area, along with comfort behaviours such as preening and dustbathing. It has long been known that litter provision is a key environmental factor that enables birds to perform many natural behaviours and reduces the chance of abnormal behaviour developing [10–14]. However, only one third of the environment is covered in litter, and this is also the area where the majority of other enrichment is provided. The inclusion of verandas in both barn and free-range systems provides more areas for the performance of important litter-related behaviours and also increases the space available for more varied enrichment items such as dustbathing boxes and pecking objects.

The opportunity for choice and environmental complexity has been well studied in laying hens and has positive effects on welfare [15]. Laying hens have strong environmental preferences and these can often be influenced by fear levels [16]. Providing areas where laying hens have the choice for different temperatures and light levels is important.

## References



1. EFSA. Scientific opinion: Welfare of laying hens on farm. 2022 [cited 2023]. Available: <https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.7789>
2. Heerkens JLT, Delezie E, Kempen I, Zoons J, Ampe B, Rodenburg TB, et al. Specific characteristics of the aviary housing system affect plumage condition, mortality and production in laying hens. *Poult Sci.* 2015;94: 2008–2017.
3. Häne M, Huber-Eicher B, Fröhlich E. Survey of laying hen husbandry in Switzerland. *Worlds Poult Sci J.* 2000;56: 21–31.
4. Nicol CJ, Gregory NG, Knowles TG, Parkman ID, Wilkins LJ. Differential effects of increased stocking density, mediated by increased flock size, on feather pecking and aggression in laying hens. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 1999;65: 137–152.
5. Huber-Eicher B, Audigé L. Analysis of risk factors for the occurrence of feather pecking in laying hen growers. *Br Poult Sci.* 1999;40: 599–604.
6. Zimmerman PH, Lindberg AC, Pope SJ, Glen E, Bolhuis JE, Nicol CJ. The effect of stocking density, flock size and modified management on laying hen behaviour and welfare in a non-cage system. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2006;101: 111–124.
7. Steinfeldt S, Nielsen BL. Welfare of organic laying hens kept at different indoor stocking densities in a multi-tier aviary system. I: egg laying, and use of veranda and outdoor area. *Animal.* 2015;9: 1509–1517.
8. Bubier NE. The behavioural priorities of laying hens: the effects of two methods of environment enrichment on time budgets. *Behav Processes.* 1996;37: 239–249.
9. Dawkins MS. Time budgets in Red Junglefowl as a baseline for the assessment of welfare in domestic fowl. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 1989;24: 77–80.
10. Blokhuis HJ, Arkes JG. Some observations on the development of feather-pecking in poultry. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 1984;12: 145–157.
11. Gunnarsson S, Keeling LJ, Svedberg J. Effect of rearing factors on the prevalence of floor eggs, cloacal cannibalism and feather pecking in commercial flocks of loose housed laying hens. *Br Poult Sci.* 1999;40: 12–18.
12. Lambton SL, Knowles TG, Yorke C, Nicol CJ. The risk factors affecting the development of gentle and severe feather pecking in loose housed laying hens. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2010;123: 32–42.
13. Tahamtani FM, Brantsæter M, Nordgreen J, Sandberg E, Hansen TB, Nødtvedt A, et al. Effects of litter provision during early rearing and environmental enrichment during the production phase on feather pecking and feather damage in laying hens. *Poult Sci.* 2016;95: 2747–2756.
14. Schreiter R, Damme K, von Borell E, Vogt I, Klunker M, Freick M. Effects of litter and additional enrichment elements on the occurrence of feather pecking in pullets and laying hens - A focused review. *Vet Med Sci.* 2019;5: 500–507.
15. Lay DC Jr, Fulton RM, Hester PY, Karcher DM, Kjaer JB, Mench JA, et al. Hen welfare in different housing systems. *Poult Sci.* 2011;90: 278–294.
16. Nicol CJ, Caplen G, Edgar J, Browne WJ. Associations between welfare indicators and environmental choice in laying hens. *Anim Behav.* 2009;78: 413–424.

