

# Understanding verandas for laying hens

## What is a Veranda?

- A veranda or winter garden is an additional roofed structure attached to the outside of a poultry building, which has a fully littered floor. These structures provide the hens with natural daylight and an outdoor climate. Verandas or winter gardens are becoming more popular in the laying hen industry, with many new-build systems choosing to invest in them.
- Verandas offer birds access to and from the main house via a series of internal popholes (in free-range systems, access to the range may be via external popholes, between the veranda and the range).
- Verandas in free-range laying hen production are transition areas, or 'halfway houses' between the hen house and the range.
- In barn production systems, verandas are simply an addition to the house, and provide natural daylight and air flow but do not provide range access. They can either be built as an additional element to the henhouse (retro-fitted) or they can be incorporated into a new build.

## Why are verandas important to hen welfare?

- Installing verandas onto barn systems will be a huge step forward for hen welfare. Verandas provide many benefits for bird welfare, including:
  - Providing more natural daylight (including UVA and UVB wavelengths).
    - Exposure to direct natural levels of UVB wavelengths can ensure the production of vitamin D3, which promotes absorption of calcium to improve bone strength.
  - Providing hens with access to an outdoor climate and continual supply of fresh air.
  - Providing more space for enrichment and foraging opportunities.
  - Maintaining litter quality in the main house
  - Indirect impact on improving feather coverage
  - Reducing stocking density within the main house which, therefore, gives hens more overall space to move around and improved house litter quality.
- For free-range hens, verandas provide a transition area to the range. This also means that when avian influenza housing orders are put in place, hens who have been used to going outside can still have access to a veranda (provided it is biosecure) which will reduce stress, and therefore reduce behaviours such as injurious pecking.

## Where did you source your evidence that verandas improve hen welfare?

- The RSPCA is an evidence-led organisation and any changes to the standards are



informed by the most up-to-date research and information when this becomes available.

- The RSPCA commissioned ADAS to prepare a [report on Verandas in 2016](#). The report includes types of verandas, advantages and disadvantages and an estimate of cost. Although the costings are outdated now, the report highlighted a large number of benefits verandas could bring to hen welfare.
- The RSPCA also looked at systems across Europe (see [‘Use In Europe’](#) for more detailed information on European verandas) which already have verandas and studied the impact this has had on hens. In most cases, hens are less stressed, more active and have reduced feather damage and farmers are able to keep hens with intact beaks.
- The European food safety authority (EFSA) recently published a review of [‘The Welfare of Laying hens on farm’](#), after reviewing research and welfare outcomes verandas were included in the final list of recommendations to urgently improve the welfare of laying hens on farm:
  - *‘Provide a covered veranda for all birds to reduce effective/local stocking density during daytime periods when birds are most active, and permit birds to choose between temperatures, light conditions and substrate quality. This would reduce the risk of the welfare consequences inability to perform foraging, exploratory and comfort behaviour. Compared to an outdoor range, the risk of predation stress, gastroenteric disorders and other infectious diseases in case of outbreaks in the member states will be reduced. In climates where a covered veranda cannot be provided, provide extra space to birds’ [1] .*

## Use in Europe

- In Europe, many systems already include verandas and this is one way in which the UK farming industry is sadly lagging behind.
- Verandas are commonly used in European countries and are included as an aspect in both the [Beter Leven](#) one, two and three star requirements operating in the Netherlands and the [KAT guides](#) for laying farms operating in Germany. The key aspects required in these verandas are an outdoor climate, natural daylight and litter provision.
- Producers using verandas report positive outcomes, such as being able to house intact beak birds, better litter quality and more activity in hens.
- There is limited academic research into verandas, as the countries that use them have been using these systems successfully for many years.

## **Belgium**

- Research looking at production systems on Belgium farms in 2015 reported that out of 47 randomly selected flocks, 28% of barn systems had a covered run and 19% had a free-range area which always included a covered run [2].

## **Switzerland**

- Similar to the Belgium farms, researchers looking at Swiss farms visited 96 farms and reported 59.3% of the flocks had access to the outdoors. In addition, 48.9% of the flocks had access to a veranda and a free-range area. A veranda without a free-range area was present in 6.0% of the flocks [3].

**Examples of some Swiss systems can be see below:**



© Ariane Stratmann



© Ariane Stratmann

## Detailed literature review

When not included in the usable area, access to a veranda during the daytime will significantly reduce the stocking density in the main house. There is a clear relationship between higher stocking density and an increased risk of feather pecking [4–6]. The use of verandas appeared to increase with increasing stocking density when organic birds were kept at 6, 9 and 12 birds/m<sup>2</sup> [7] suggesting the value of a larger litter area.

Hens spend about 38% of their daily time budget engaged in foraging behaviours [8] and in ancestral red jungle fowl this can be up to 60% [9]. In farmed systems the majority of this behaviour occurs on the litter area, along with comfort behaviours such as preening and dustbathing. It has long been known that litter provision is a key environmental factor that enables birds to perform many natural behaviours and reduces the chance of abnormal behaviour developing [10–14]. However, only one third of the environment is covered in litter, and this is also the area where the majority of other enrichment is provided. The inclusion of verandas in all systems provides more areas for the performance of important litter-related behaviours and also increases the space available for more varied enrichment items such as dustbathing boxes and pecking objects.

### *Verandas in free-range systems*

Some studies have looked at the percentage of hens utilising different areas outside the house consisting of verandas, close range and far range. Larsen et al, [15] reported the percentage of birds that accessed only the verandas ranged between 0.3% and 2.8% whereas the percentage of birds that accessed all three zones ranged between 73.7% to 84.5%, demonstrating that the provision of a veranda on a free-range system does not result in restricted range use. Another study looking at range use in Swiss commercial flocks found large variability in range use of RFID tagged hens. However overall between 79 - 99% of tagged hens visited the veranda at least once and 47 - 90% visited the range at least once [16]. In this study more tagged birds were observed in the veranda compared to the range. In fact verandas may increase range use, a review paper by Pettersson et al [17] summarised that in commercial studies range use rarely exceeds 40%.

Verandas provide an ideal transition area onto the free-range. Practical experience and research has shown that birds will utilise ranges with artificial and natural cover [18–20]. One study found that range distribution was improved with the addition of narrow shelter runways and found that birds actively sought these shelters when exiting popholes onto the range area [21]. Creating a transition area to the outside range may be another way to increase range use. The area outside popholes is often barren and consists of hard terrain to maintain a dry area and deter wildlife, however this does not encourage range use, as suggested by research looking at provisioning shelters and cover on range areas [19,21].

The opportunity for choice and environmental complexity has been well studied in laying hens and has positive effects on welfare [22]. Laying hens have strong environmental preferences and these can often be influenced by fear levels [23]. Providing transition areas to the outdoor range, such as verandas, could reduce fearful associations with the outside





range by allowing hens to adjust to an outdoor climate and natural daylight within the veranda area.

The importance of early-life positive experiences is well known in the laying hen industry [24] and many rearing systems now match the laying environment in an effort to minimise the differences and reduce fear and stress. Early enrichment provision and complex environments have long term effects of range use and adaptability to environmental stressors [25]. The free-range egg marketing regulations require laying hens to have access to the range from 21 weeks of age. Up to this point they will have limited experience of natural daylight and an outdoor climate. Providing these aspects in verandas may reduce the fear response to the outside environment and promote more range use.

Since 2021 the UK has had over 300 cases of avian influenza, and for the past two years poultry species have been housed for up to 5 months of the winter season. Housing hens can be very stressful and there have been reports of increased cases of feather pecking and smothering. Providing access to a veranda to older hens during an Avian influenza housing order has multiple benefits. Hens will still experience the opening of popholes into a novel area with natural daylight and an outdoor climate, and the house stocking density will be reduced. Once range access is provided once more hens will have experience of some aspects of the outside range and this will reduce stress and fear responses.

## References

1. EFSA. Scientific opinion: Welfare of laying hens on farm. 2022 [cited 2023]. Available: <https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.7789>
2. Heerkens JLT, Delezie E, Kempen I, Zoons J, Ampe B, Rodenburg TB, et al. Specific characteristics of the aviary housing system affect plumage condition, mortality and production in laying hens. *Poult Sci*. 2015;94: 2008–2017.
3. Häne M, Huber-Eicher B, Fröhlich E. Survey of laying hen husbandry in Switzerland. *Worlds Poult Sci J*. 2000;56: 21–31.
4. Nicol CJ, Gregory NG, Knowles TG, Parkman ID, Wilkins LJ. Differential effects of increased stocking density, mediated by increased flock size, on feather pecking and aggression in laying hens. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 1999;65: 137–152.
5. Huber-Eicher B, Audigé L. Analysis of risk factors for the occurrence of feather pecking in laying hen growers. *Br Poult Sci*. 1999;40: 599–604.
6. Zimmerman PH, Lindberg AC, Pope SJ, Glen E, Bolhuis JE, Nicol CJ. The effect of stocking density, flock size and modified management on laying hen behaviour and welfare in a non-cage system. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2006;101: 111–124.
7. Steenfeldt S, Nielsen BL. Welfare of organic laying hens kept at different indoor stocking densities in a multi-tier aviary system. I: egg laying, and use of veranda and outdoor area. *Animal*. 2015;9: 1509–1517.
8. Bubier NE. The behavioural priorities of laying hens: the effects of two methods of environment enrichment on time budgets. *Behav Processes*. 1996;37: 239–249.

9. Dawkins MS. Time budgets in Red Junglefowl as a baseline for the assessment of welfare in domestic fowl. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 1989;24: 77–80.
10. Blokhuis HJ, Arkes JG. Some observations on the development of feather-pecking in poultry. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 1984;12: 145–157.
11. Gunnarsson S, Keeling LJ, Svedberg J. Effect of rearing factors on the prevalence of floor eggs, cloacal cannibalism and feather pecking in commercial flocks of loose housed laying hens. *Br Poult Sci.* 1999;40: 12–18.
12. Lambton SL, Knowles TG, Yorke C, Nicol CJ. The risk factors affecting the development of gentle and severe feather pecking in loose housed laying hens. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2010;123: 32–42.
13. Tahamtani FM, Brantsæter M, Nordgreen J, Sandberg E, Hansen TB, Nødtvedt A, et al. Effects of litter provision during early rearing and environmental enrichment during the production phase on feather pecking and feather damage in laying hens. *Poult Sci.* 2016;95: 2747–2756.
14. Schreiter R, Damme K, von Borell E, Vogt I, Klunker M, Freick M. Effects of litter and additional enrichment elements on the occurrence of feather pecking in pullets and laying hens - A focused review. *Vet Med Sci.* 2019;5: 500–507.
15. Larsen H, Cronin GM, Gebhardt-Henrich SG, Smith CL, Hemsworth PH, Rault J-L. Individual Ranging Behaviour Patterns in Commercial Free-Range Layers as Observed through RFID Tracking. *Animals (Basel).* 2017;7. doi:10.3390/ani7030021
16. Gebhardt-Henrich SG, Toscano MJ, Fröhlich EKF. Use of outdoor ranges by laying hens in different sized flocks. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2014;155: 74–81.
17. Pettersson IC, Freire R, Nicol CJ. Factors affecting ranging behaviour in commercial free-range hens. *Worlds Poult Sci J.* 2016;72: 137–150.
18. Bestman MWP, Wagenaar JP. Farm level factors associated with feather pecking in organic laying hens. *Livestock Production Science.* 2003;80: 133–140.
19. Larsen H, Rault J-L. Preference for artificial range enrichment design features in free-range commercial laying hens. *Br Poult Sci.* 2021;62: 311–319.
20. Bright A, Brass D, Clachan J, Drake KA, Joret AD. Canopy cover is correlated with reduced injurious feather pecking in commercial flocks of free-range laying hens. *Anim Welf.* 2011;20: 329–338.
21. Pettersson IC, Weeks CA, Nicol CJ. Provision of a resource package reduces feather pecking and improves ranging distribution on free-range layer farms. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2017;195: 60–66.
22. Lay DC Jr, Fulton RM, Hester PY, Karcher DM, Kjaer JB, Mench JA, et al. Hen welfare in different housing systems. *Poult Sci.* 2011;90: 278–294.
23. Nicol CJ, Caplen G, Edgar J, Browne WJ. Associations between welfare indicators and environmental choice in laying hens. *Anim Behav.* 2009;78: 413–424.
24. Janczak AM, Riber AB. Review of rearing-related factors affecting the welfare of laying hens. *Poult Sci.* 2015;94: 1454–1469.
25. Campbell DLM, Hinch GN, Downing JA, Lee C. Early enrichment in free-range laying



hens: effects on ranging behaviour, welfare and response to stressors. *Animal*. 2018;12: 575–584.